Case 4:09-cr-01076-PJH Document 33 Filed 11/10/10 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 09-1076 PJH
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT)
Arturo Diaz-Carrillo) NOA (1 2010 W 1 2010
Defendant.) ORIGINAL MIEKING ORIGINAL PORT ORIGINAL PORT
Speedy Trial Act from November 10, 2010 to by the continuance outweigh the best interest of	d on Myr 50, 2010, the Court excludes time under the 2,000, 2010 and finds that the ends of justice served the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 8 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to e	plex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial hished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	uld deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, f due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ammitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	uld unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time n, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 41 (o(U)	
/ .	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney